

The Impact of Cultural Differences on Leadership Styles: A Systematic Literature Review

Zainul Musthofa & Tri Siwi Agustina

Department of Management, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Airlangga

Email: zainul.musthofa@feb.unair.ac.id

Abstract

This study synthesizes the findings from a systematic literature review of 58 articles which then was curated into 18 articles, focusing on the relationship between personality traits and leadership effectiveness across different cultural contexts. The study aimed to explore how cultural differences influence the impact of personality traits on leadership outcomes. The literature review revealed that certain personality traits, such as extraversion, conscientiousness, and openness to experience, are generally associated with effective leadership across cultures. However, cultural differences can significantly impact how these traits are perceived and valued in leaders. The study also highlighted the importance of considering cultural dimensions such as performance orientation, assertiveness, future orientation, humane orientation, institutional collectivism, in-group collectivism, gender egalitarianism, power distance, and uncertainty avoidance in understanding leadership effectiveness across cultures. The GLOBE study, which examined leadership across 62 cultures, found that certain leadership styles, such as charismatic and group-oriented leadership, were generally effective across cultures, while others, like self-protective leadership, were less effective. Furthermore, the review emphasized the role of cultural variations in leadership styles, with different cultural clusters having distinct preferences for leadership communication styles. For example, the Middle Eastern cluster preferred a more traditional leadership profile that included attributes such as familial, humble, faithful, self-protective, and considerate. In conclusion, the study underscores the complex interplay between personality traits and cultural differences in shaping leadership effectiveness. Understanding these cultural variations is crucial for developing effective leadership strategies that can be applied globally.

Keywords: Personality Traits, Cultural Differences, Cross-Cultural Leadership, Leadership Effectiveness.

1. Introduction

The influence of personality traits on effective leadership remains a significant area of research, exploring how specific traits impact leadership outcomes across various cultural contexts. This study aims to synthesize key findings from multiple articles, examining the role of different personality traits in leadership styles and their correlation with positive leadership outcomes. Despite extensive research, gaps remain in understanding the nuanced interplay between personality traits, cultural contexts, and leadership effectiveness.

Leadership effectiveness is critical for organizational success, influenced by how leaders interact with followers, make decisions, and handle complex roles. Studies indicate that certain traits, such as extraversion, conscientiousness, and openness to experience, are particularly conducive to effective leadership in Western cultures. However, the relationship between

personality traits and leadership extends beyond specific cultural contexts, encompassing various industries and organizational settings.

Existing literature predominantly focuses on Western contexts, leaving a gap in understanding how these traits function in non-Western cultures. Additionally, the impact of personality traits on leadership styles like transformational leadership and conflict management approaches needs further exploration. This study employs a systematic literature review to bridge these gaps, offering insights into the global applicability of personality traits in leadership.

2. Literature Review

Research on personality traits and leadership often utilizes the Five-Factor Model (FFM) of personality, comprising extraversion, conscientiousness, openness to experience, agreeableness, and neuroticism. The literature review highlights the importance of personality traits in leadership, particularly extraversion, conscientiousness, and openness to experience. Research has consistently shown that these traits are positively correlated with job satisfaction and effective leadership outcomes. The review also discusses the role of cultural context in shaping leadership effectiveness, with findings suggesting that certain personality traits are more conducive to effective leadership in specific cultural contexts.

3. Research Method

This study employs a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology, analyzing 58 articles to curate a focused selection of 18 articles that provide in-depth insights into the relationship between personality traits and leadership effectiveness across different cultures. The SLR process involved:

1. Identification: Comprehensive searches in academic databases using keywords such as "personality traits," "leadership effectiveness," "cultural context," and "Five-Factor Model."
2. Screening: Initial screening of articles based on abstracts and relevance to the research questions.
3. Eligibility: Full-text review of selected articles to assess methodological rigor and relevance.
4. Inclusion: Final selection of 18 articles that provide robust evidence on the impact of personality traits on leadership across various cultural settings.

4. Finding and Discussion

4.1 Cultural Preferences for Personality Traits in Leadership

Different cultures prioritize various personality traits in leaders, influencing leadership effectiveness:

- Collectivist Cultures: Traits like agreeableness and conscientiousness are valued for promoting group harmony and cooperation. Leaders exhibiting these traits align with cultural expectations of collective well-being over individual achievements.

- Individualistic Cultures: Traits such as extraversion and openness are favored for their association with assertiveness, innovation, and independence, essential for effective leadership in these settings.

4.2 Effective Leadership Traits

Certain personality traits consistently correlate with effective leadership:

- Transformational Leadership: This style, emphasizing inspirational motivation and individualized consideration, is associated with high levels of extraversion, conscientiousness, and openness. Transformational leaders inspire and engage followers, fostering a positive organizational climate.
- Transactional Leadership: Focused on structured tasks and rewards, this style benefits from traits like conscientiousness and emotional stability, enabling leaders to maintain order and efficiency.

4.3 Balancing Bright and Dark Traits

Effective leadership requires balancing positive and negative aspects of personality traits:

- Bright Traits: Extraversion, openness, and agreeableness enhance communication, innovation, and relationship-building.
- Dark Traits: Traits such as excessive extraversion can lead to overconfidence and risk-taking. Understanding and mitigating the potential downsides of these traits is crucial for effective leadership.

4.4 Group Dynamics and Emotional Intelligence

Research indicates that leaders with high levels of extraversion and agreeableness foster positive group dynamics, increasing collective emotional intelligence and job satisfaction. These leaders create an environment of psychological safety, essential for team cohesion and performance.

5. Conclusion

The relationship between personality traits and leadership effectiveness is complex, influenced by cultural contexts, leadership styles, and the specific traits involved. While traits like extraversion, conscientiousness, and openness to experience are generally associated with effective leadership, it is essential to consider their potential downsides and cultural implications. This study underscores the need for future research to explore these dynamics in non-Western settings, providing a more comprehensive understanding of global leadership effectiveness.

By integrating findings from diverse cultural contexts and leadership styles, this study contributes to a nuanced understanding of how personality traits impact leadership. Future research should continue to examine the bright and dark sides of personality traits, considering their implications for leadership across different cultural and organizational environments.

References

- Ali, F., Salleh, N. M., & Zainal, A. (2016). Born this way or formed this way: Distal personality traits and proximal self-efficacy of Malaysian students and their academic performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 108(5), 775-789.
- Peterson, R. A., & Anand, S. (2004). How is personality related to research performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(3), 498-512.
- Smith, J. M., & Sarkar, S. (2020). The bright and dark personality correlates of creative potentials. *Creativity Research Journal*, 32(2), 114-126.
- Johnson, M. K., & Blanchard, A. L. (2015). Personality traits and conflict management styles: building the relationship through leadership effectiveness. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 26(1), 25-43.
- Mendoza, S. M., & Zedeck, S. (2019). Personality and humor in groups: implications for collective emotional intelligence, psychological safety and satisfaction. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 23(4), 221-235.
- Brown, R. S., & Perry, S. J. (2018). CEO extraversion and the cost of equity capital. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 127(3), 588-609.
- Kim, J., & Park, J. (2017). Investigating group formation: An experiment on the distribution of extraversion in educational settings. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 109(4), 561-575.
- Liao, H., & Chuang, A. (2007). Teacher-leaders' personality traits of extraversion, conscientiousness, and openness to experience and transformational leadership. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 43(3), 401-426.
- Buss, D. M., & Shackelford, T. K. (2002). Blinded by the light: The dark side of traditionally desirable personality traits. *American Psychologist*, 57(3), 161-174.
- Judge, T. A., Heller, D., & Mount, M. K. (2002). Five-factor model of personality and job satisfaction: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(3), 530-541.
- Northouse, P. G. (2010). Trait and behavioral theories of leadership. In *Leadership: Theory and Practice* (pp. 19-49). Sage Publications.
- Carter, M. Z., & Baghurst, T. (2014). Pattern and variable approaches in leadership emergence and effectiveness. *Leadership Quarterly*, 25(5), 825-839.
- Martin, R., & Thomas, G. (2017). Personality traits and job performance among academic staff in a private academic institution. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 109(6), 903-915.
- Barrick, M. R., & Mount, M. K. (1991). Personality measures as predictors of job performance: A meta-analysis. *Personnel Psychology*, 44(1), 1-26.
- Sheldon, K. M., & Elliot, A. J. (1999). Self-concordance at work: Toward understanding the motivational effects. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 84(5), 793-804.

International Conference of Organizational Innovation 2024

Proceedings

ICOI

2024

e-ISSN: 3064-6030
FMI, Vol 1
23-25 July 2024

Bono, J. E., & Judge, T. A. (2004). Personality and transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 901-910.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)